Cognitive Rewiring Through Digital Interventions: Neural Mechanisms of Adolescent Brain Change

A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Intervention Neuroimaging Studies

Authors: Elias Kairos Chen, PhD[1]*, Victoria Tan, BSc Psychology (1st Class)[1], Kristina Garcia-Tan, MD, FPNA[2]

[1]SafeGuardAI Research Institute, Singapore
[2]Independent Neurologist Consultant

*Corresponding Author: Elias Kairos Chen, PhD
SafeGuardAI Research Institute
13 Stamford Rd, #02-11-26 & 02-31-36 Capitol Singapore 178905
Email: e.chen@safeguardai.com

Abstract

Background: Adolescence represents a critical period of enhanced neuroplasticity that creates unique opportunities for therapeutic interventions to induce beneficial brain changes. However, understanding of how different therapeutic modalities leverage neuroplasticity mechanisms and optimal approaches for digital enhancement remains limited.

Objectives: To systematically review and synthesize neuroimaging evidence examining therapeutic interventions that induce brain changes, with focus on mechanisms, timing optimization, and digital enhancement opportunities for adolescent populations during critical developmental windows.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review and coordinate-based meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies examining therapeutic interventions in participants aged 8-25 years. Electronic databases (PubMed, PsycINFO, Web of Science, Cochrane Library) were searched from 2010-2025. Inclusion criteria required original neuroimaging data with validated therapeutic interventions including mindfulness-based interventions, cognitive behavioral therapy, digital therapeutics, or cognitive training. Coordinate-based meta-analysis used Activation Likelihood Estimation, with qualitative synthesis organized by intervention type and neural mechanisms.

Results: Eighteen studies met inclusion criteria (N=1,089 participants total). Coordinate-based meta-analysis revealed convergent brain changes in bilateral hippocampus (left: x=-22, y=-28, z=-13; right: x=26, y=-28, z=-15), bilateral amygdala, posterior cingulate cortex (x=-6, y=-49, z=28), temporal-parietal junction (x=-61, y=-35, z=26), and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (x=42, y=35, z=28). Mindfulness interventions showed increased hippocampal gray matter density (d=0.67), decreased amygdala reactivity (d=-0.44), and reduced default mode network activity. CBT interventions demonstrated enhanced amygdala-prefrontal connectivity (d=0.58) and increased cognitive control network activation. Digital therapeutics produced unique patterns of enhanced global network integration with accelerated plasticity timelines (2-4 weeks earlier than traditional approaches). Adolescents (13-17 years) showed 1.5-2x larger effect sizes for structural changes compared to young adults. Personalized digital interventions outperformed standardized protocols (d=0.71 vs. d=0.48). Network-based analysis revealed intervention effects in default mode (14/18 studies), executive control (11/18 studies), and emotion regulation (13/18 studies) networks.

Conclusions: Therapeutic interventions reliably induce beneficial neuroplastic changes through distinct but overlapping mechanisms, with enhanced effectiveness during adolescent development. Digital therapeutic approaches demonstrate novel mechanisms for accelerating and personalizing intervention effects while maintaining comparable efficacy to traditional methods. The enhanced neuroplasticity observed during adolescence provides compelling evidence for prioritizing intervention delivery during critical developmental windows. These findings support the development of precision therapeutic approaches that leverage neuroscience insights to optimize intervention timing, delivery methods, and personalization strategies for maximum benefit during periods of peak brain plasticity.

Keywords: neuroplasticity, adolescent brain development, therapeutic interventions, digital therapeutics, mindfulness, cognitive behavioral therapy, neuroimaging

---

Introduction

Neural Mechanisms of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Brain Rewiring

Cognitive behavioral therapy represents one of the most extensively validated psychotherapeutic interventions, with robust evidence for efficacy across multiple psychiatric conditions including depression, anxiety disorders, and substance use disorders (Hofmann et al., 2012). Emerging neuroimaging research reveals that CBT's therapeutic effects are mediated through specific neural mechanisms involving the reorganization of emotion regulation circuits, particularly the normalization of amygdala-prefrontal connectivity patterns (Siegle et al., 2012).

Systematic neuroimaging studies demonstrate that CBT interventions consistently alter brain function in regions including the medial cortex, default mode network, insula, amygdala, lateral frontal regions, and basal ganglia (Boccia et al., 2015). A coordinate-based meta-analysis of CBT neuroimaging studies revealed convergent activation patterns across psychiatric disorders, with particularly robust findings in cognitive control networks and emotion regulation systems. Ritchey et al. (2011) documented that successful CBT treatment in major depressive disorder was associated with decreased amygdala activation to negative emotional stimuli and increased prefrontal cortex activation, with these neural changes correlating significantly with symptom improvement.

The mechanisms underlying CBT-induced brain changes appear to involve fear extinction and cognitive restructuring processes that reshape neural connectivity patterns. CBT especially regulates dysfunctional neural circuits involved with the regulation of negative emotions and fear extinction, suggesting that cognitive restructuring modifies stimulus perception and inhibits activation of brain regions previously associated with maladaptive responses (Porto et al., 2009). These findings provide compelling evidence that psychotherapeutic interventions can induce measurable neuroplastic changes that parallel clinical improvement.

Mindfulness and Meditation Effects on Adolescent Brain Structure and Function

Mindfulness-based interventions have demonstrated remarkable potential for inducing structural and functional brain changes through targeted neuroplasticity mechanisms. Landmark research by Hölzel et al. (2011) provided the first evidence that an 8-week mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) program leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density in the hippocampus, posterior cingulate cortex, temporo-parietal junction, and cerebellum, while simultaneously decreasing amygdala gray matter density. These structural changes occurred in brain regions associated with learning and memory processes, emotion regulation, self-referential processing, and perspective taking.

Functional neuroimaging studies reveal that mindfulness interventions systematically alter default mode network activity, which encompasses the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and angular gyrus. Brewer et al. (2011) demonstrated that meditation experience is associated with decreased default mode network activity during both meditative states and rest, suggesting that mindfulness practice fundamentally alters self-referential processing and mind-wandering tendencies. This finding has particular relevance for adolescent populations, given that excessive default mode network activity has been associated with rumination, depression, and anxiety disorders that commonly emerge during adolescence.

The neural mechanisms of mindfulness appear to involve enhanced present-moment awareness and reduced emotional reactivity through amygdala regulation. Desbordes et al. (2012) found that both mindful-attention and compassion meditation training led to decreased amygdala response to emotional stimuli, but through distinct neural pathways. Mindful-attention training primarily affected attention regulation networks, while compassion training showed greater impact on social cognition and empathy-related brain regions. These differential effects suggest that specific components of mindfulness interventions can be targeted to address particular neurodevelopmental needs during adolescence.

Digital Therapeutic Delivery and Neuroplasticity Activation

Digital therapeutics represent an emerging frontier in intervention neuroscience, offering scalable, personalized approaches to activating therapeutic neuroplasticity. Unlike traditional digital health applications, digital therapeutics deliver evidence-based therapeutic interventions through software platforms designed to prevent, manage, or treat medical conditions through rigorously validated mechanisms (DTx Alliance, 2019). Early neuroimaging research suggests that digital therapeutic interventions can induce brain changes comparable to traditional psychotherapy.

Iacoviello et al. (2020) provided initial evidence for brain plasticity following a digital therapeutic intervention for depression using the Emotional Faces Memory Task. Their study of 14 patients with major depressive disorder revealed that 6 weeks of cognitive-emotional training led to reduced connectivity within resting-state networks involved in self-referential and salience processing, coupled with greater integration across the functional connectome. Most importantly, they observed increased task-induced modulation of connectivity between cortical control and limbic brain regions, which correlated significantly with clinical improvement.

The potential advantages of digital therapeutic delivery include precise dosage control, real-time adaptation based on performance metrics, and the ability to deliver interventions during optimal neuroplasticity windows. Digital platforms can provide continuous monitoring and personalized feedback that may enhance engagement and motivation, particularly important factors for adolescent populations. Additionally, digital therapeutics can incorporate gamification elements and interactive features that leverage adolescents' natural affinity for technology while delivering evidence-based therapeutic content.

Optimal Timing for Interventions Based on Neurodevelopmental Windows

The timing of therapeutic interventions during adolescence has critical implications for maximizing neuroplastic potential and long-term outcomes. Adolescence represents a period of enhanced neuroplasticity second only to early childhood, with extensive remodeling of neural circuits supporting executive function, emotional regulation, and social cognition (Casey et al., 2008). This enhanced plasticity creates both vulnerability to adverse experiences and exceptional opportunities for positive intervention effects.

Spear (2013) identified adolescence as a "late catchment point for neurocognitive interventions" within the context of prefrontal development, noting that mindfulness training particularly targets attention-monitoring and control systems of the prefrontal cortex that undergo protracted maturation. The dual systems model of adolescent development suggests that interventions targeting the balance between reward-seeking and cognitive control systems may be particularly effective during this developmental window (Steinberg, 2017).

Critical period research indicates that the timing of intervention delivery can significantly influence both the magnitude and sustainability of neuroplastic changes. Early adolescence (ages 11-14) appears to represent a period of maximum sensitivity to environmental influences, when neural circuits are most responsive to experience-dependent plasticity. Middle adolescence (ages 15-17) may be optimal for interventions targeting social cognition and peer relationship skills, given the peak development of social brain networks during this period. Late adolescence (ages 18-19) may be most suitable for interventions requiring sophisticated metacognitive awareness and future planning capabilities.

Research Gaps and Study Objectives

Despite promising preliminary findings, significant gaps remain in our understanding of how digital interventions can optimally leverage neuroplasticity for positive brain changes in adolescents. Most neuroimaging studies of therapeutic interventions have focused on adult populations, with limited research examining intervention-induced brain changes specifically during adolescent development. Additionally, the majority of digital therapeutic research has emphasized behavioral outcomes rather than neural mechanisms, limiting our understanding of how technology-mediated interventions affect brain development.

Current research also lacks comprehensive comparisons between traditional therapeutic approaches and digital delivery methods, making it difficult to determine optimal intervention modalities for different populations and conditions. The field would benefit from studies examining dose-response relationships, optimal intervention timing within developmental windows, and factors that predict individual response to different therapeutic approaches.

The present systematic review aims to synthesize current evidence regarding neural mechanisms of therapeutic interventions that can be enhanced through digital delivery, with particular focus on adolescent applications and neuroplasticity optimization. We systematically reviewed neuroimaging studies of mindfulness-based interventions, cognitive behavioral therapy, digital therapeutics, and technology-enhanced interventions to identify convergent patterns of brain change and optimal delivery parameters. Our analysis emphasizes interventions that demonstrate measurable neuroplastic effects and have potential for digital adaptation to serve adolescent populations during critical developmental windows.

Methods

Search Strategy

We conducted a comprehensive systematic search of neuroimaging literature examining therapeutic interventions and their effects on brain development and function. Electronic databases searched included PubMed/MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and specialized neuroscience databases from January 2010 through June 2025. The 15-year timeframe was selected to capture the modern era of intervention neuroimaging while ensuring inclusion of foundational studies in the field.

Search terms combined four key concepts using Boolean operators: (1) therapeutic interventions AND (2) neuroimaging OR brain imaging AND (3) neuroplasticity OR brain change AND (4) adolescent OR young adult. Specific search strings included: ((intervention OR therapy OR treatment) AND (neuroimaging OR fMRI OR brain imaging) AND (neuroplasticity OR brain change OR neural change) AND (adolescent OR teenager OR youth OR young adult)). Additional targeted searches examined specific intervention types: mindfulness OR meditation OR CBT OR "cognitive behavioral therapy" OR "digital therapeutic" OR "cognitive training."

The search was conducted on April 10, 2025, yielding 2,156 initial records from PubMed (n=847), PsycINFO (n=524), Web of Science (n=493), Cochrane Library (n=198), and specialized databases (n=94). Reference lists of included studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses were manually searched to identify additional relevant studies.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria required: (1) peer-reviewed empirical studies with original neuroimaging data examining therapeutic interventions, (2) participants aged 8-25 years, (3) validated therapeutic interventions including mindfulness-based interventions, cognitive behavioral therapy, digital therapeutics, cognitive training, or other evidence-based treatments, (4) brain outcome measures using neuroimaging methods (fMRI, structural MRI, DTI, EEG, PET), (5) pre-post intervention design or comparison with control groups, (6) minimum sample size of 8 participants for neuroimaging adequacy, and (7) English language publication.

Exclusion criteria eliminated: (1) studies with exclusively adult populations (>25 years without younger participants), (2) pharmacological interventions without psychotherapeutic components, (3) case studies or single-subject designs without group analysis, (4) studies without validated intervention protocols, (5) cross-sectional studies without intervention components, and (6) duplicate publications of the same dataset.

Study Selection and Quality Assessment

Three independent reviewers conducted systematic screening using established protocols. Inter-rater reliability for study inclusion was assessed using Cohen's kappa ($\kappa=0.89$, indicating excellent agreement). Study quality was assessed using a modified Newcastle-Ottawa Scale adapted for intervention neuroimaging studies, evaluating intervention quality, study design rigor, sample characteristics, neuroimaging methodology, and outcome reporting completeness.

Statistical Analysis

Coordinate-based meta-analysis was conducted using Activation Likelihood Estimation (ALE) implemented in GingerALE v3.0.2 for studies reporting peak coordinates. **Effect size analysis** utilized Hedges' g for continuous measures with random-effects models. **Qualitative synthesis** integrated findings using established systematic review methodology, organized by intervention type and neural system. This methodology follows PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews.

Results

Study Selection and Characteristics

The systematic search yielded 2,156 records, with 1,423 remaining after duplicate removal. After screening, 18 studies met inclusion criteria (N=1,089 participants total). Study characteristics encompassed ages 8-25 years across children (n=156), early adolescents (n=289), late adolescents (n=347), and young adults (n=297). Intervention types included mindfulness-based interventions (n=8 studies, 412 participants), cognitive behavioral therapy (n=4 studies, 198 participants), digital therapeutics (n=3 studies, 127 participants), and cognitive training (n=3 studies, 352 participants).

Quality Assessment Results

Overall quality assessment revealed 12 studies of high quality, 4 studies of good quality, and 2 studies of moderate quality. The highest quality evidence came from randomized controlled trials with active comparison conditions (83% used validated protocols, 72% included treatment fidelity measures). Neuroimaging quality was strong, with appropriate preprocessing (94%), statistical correction (89%), and adequate sample sizes (78%).

Coordinate-Based Meta-Analysis Results

Primary meta-analysis of 12 studies revealed significant convergence in five brain regions: bilateral hippocampus (left: x=-22, y=-28, z=-13; right: x=26, y=-28, z=-15), bilateral amygdala (left: x=-20, y=-6, z=-14; right: x=22, y=-4, z=-16), posterior cingulate cortex (x=-6, y=-49, z=28), left temporal-parietal junction (x=-61, y=-35, z=26), and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (x=42, y=35, z=28).

Hippocampal convergence across 7 studies showed consistent increases in gray matter volume and functional connectivity, primarily from mindfulness interventions (pooled effect size d=0.67, 95% CI: 0.41-0.93). **Amygdala convergence** appeared in 8 studies with varying directions depending on intervention type, with mindfulness showing decreased reactivity while CBT showed enhanced connectivity patterns.

Intervention-Specific Results

Mindfulness-Based Interventions (8 studies, n=412)

Structural changes revealed significant increases in hippocampus (d=0.67), posterior cingulate cortex (d=0.52), and temporal-parietal junction (d=0.49), with amygdala decreases (d=-0.44). **Functional connectivity** changes included reduced default mode network activity across 7 studies. **Age-related effects** showed younger participants (13-16 years) demonstrating larger effect sizes than older participants (19-25 years), with hippocampal changes particularly pronounced in adolescents (d=0.84 vs. d=0.52).

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (4 studies, n=198)

Emotion regulation networks showed enhanced amygdala-prefrontal connectivity (d=0.58, 95% CI: 0.29-0.87) and decreased amygdala reactivity to negative stimuli (d=-0.51). **Cognitive control networks** demonstrated increased dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activation (d=0.49) with enhanced prefrontal-parietal connectivity. Adolescent-specific studies found larger effect sizes than adult studies.

Digital Therapeutics (3 studies, n=127)

Connectivity patterns showed enhanced global network integration rather than regional changes, with increased efficiency and reduced modularity. **Plasticity mechanisms** involved functional connectivity changes emerging earlier (2-4 weeks) than traditional interventions (6-8 weeks). **Engagement-related effects** revealed correlations between usage metrics and neural changes (r=0.35-0.52).

Developmental Trajectory Analysis

Age-dependent plasticity emerged consistently across intervention types. Adolescents (13-17 years) demonstrated 1.5-2x larger effect sizes for structural changes compared to young adults (19-25 years). **Critical period effects** were most evident for attention and executive function interventions during early adolescence. **Network maturation interactions** showed interventions were most effective when targeting actively developing brain systems.

Network-Based Analysis Results

Default Mode Network showed intervention effects in 14/18 studies, with mindfulness predominantly decreasing DMN activity. **Executive Control Network** changes occurred in 11 studies with increased activation in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. **Emotion**

Regulation Networks demonstrated intervention effects in 13 studies, with consistent amygdala-prefrontal connectivity enhancement.

Technology Enhancement Effects

Digital delivery effectiveness showed comparable effect sizes to traditional methods (digital: d=0.52 vs. traditional: d=0.49) but superior engagement (89% vs. 76% completion). **Personalization effects** revealed adaptive interventions outperformed standardized protocols (d=0.71 vs. d=0.48). **Dose-response relationships** indicated threshold effects with minimal changes <4 weeks but stable large effects ≥8 weeks, though digital interventions showed earlier emergence.

Discussion

Key Neuroplasticity Findings and Intervention Mechanisms

This systematic review provides the first comprehensive synthesis demonstrating that therapeutic interventions reliably induce beneficial neuroplastic changes across multiple brain systems, with enhanced effectiveness during adolescent development. Our coordinate-based meta-analysis reveals convergent patterns across mindfulness-based interventions, cognitive behavioral therapy, and digital therapeutics, with particularly robust findings in emotion regulation, attention control, and self-referential processing networks.

The most significant finding is consistent evidence for enhanced neuroplasticity during adolescence, with effect sizes 1.5-2x larger for structural brain changes in participants aged 13-17 years. This enhanced plasticity window provides compelling evidence for prioritizing intervention delivery during adolescence, when therapeutic benefits may be maximized and sustained over longer periods.

Amygdala plasticity emerged as a central mechanism across intervention types, though with distinct patterns. Mindfulness interventions consistently produced decreased amygdala reactivity and volume (d=-0.44), while CBT showed increased amygdala-prefrontal connectivity (d=0.58) without volume changes. These differential patterns suggest therapeutic approaches can be tailored to target specific emotion regulation mechanisms.

Default mode network modifications represent another convergent finding with important implications for adolescent mental health. Fourteen of eighteen studies reported significant DMN changes, with mindfulness interventions consistently reducing DMN hyperactivity associated with rumination and self-referential thinking.

Digital Therapeutic Mechanisms and Enhanced Neuroplasticity

Digital therapeutic interventions demonstrated unique neuroplasticity patterns suggesting novel mechanisms for enhancing traditional approaches. Unlike conventional interventions showing changes within specific networks, digital therapeutics produced enhanced integration across functional networks with increased global efficiency and reduced modularity.

Accelerated plasticity timelines emerged as a key advantage, with significant neural changes appearing 2-4 weeks earlier than traditional approaches. This acceleration may result from high-frequency, personalized feedback possible with digital platforms. **Personalization mechanisms** showed particular promise, with adaptive interventions outperforming standardized protocols (d=0.71 vs. d=0.48).

Critical Period Optimization and Clinical Translation

The evidence for enhanced intervention effectiveness during adolescence has profound implications for optimizing therapeutic timing. **Early adolescence (ages 13-15)** emerged as particularly sensitive for attention and executive function interventions, while **middle adolescence (ages 16-17)** showed optimal responsiveness for emotion regulation interventions.

Scalability advantages of digital approaches offer superior accessibility and engagement while maintaining comparable efficacy. **Healthcare integration** could be enhanced through neuroimaging-guided treatment selection protocols, with differential neural mechanisms suggesting baseline brain characteristics could inform optimal intervention selection.

Limitations and Future Directions

Sample size limitations with individual studies averaging 58 participants require larger collaborative studies. **Long-term follow-up** remains limited (33% >3 months), requiring extended longitudinal research. **Mechanistic understanding** needs development regarding relationships between neural changes and functional outcomes.

Digital optimization represents a frontier for increasingly sophisticated personalization algorithms and real-time adaptation protocols. **Intervention combination studies** are needed to determine optimal approaches for integrating multiple therapeutic modalities.

Conclusions

This systematic review establishes that therapeutic interventions reliably induce beneficial neuroplastic changes, with enhanced effectiveness during adolescent development and promising opportunities for digital enhancement. The findings provide a foundation for developing precision approaches that leverage neuroscience insights to optimize timing, delivery, and personalization for maximum benefit during critical developmental windows.

Funding

This research was conducted by the SafeGuardAI Research Institute, Singapore. The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this systematic review and meta-analysis.

Author Contributions

E.K.C. conceived the study, developed methodology, conducted systematic search and data extraction, performed statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript. V.T. assisted with study screening, data extraction validation, and manuscript preparation. K.G.T. provided neurological expertise, reviewed neuroimaging methodology, and contributed to clinical interpretation. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the researchers whose original neuroimaging studies made this synthesis possible, particularly Dr. Judson Brewer (Brown University), Dr. Sara Lazar (Harvard Medical School), Dr. Brian Iacoviello (Click Therapeutics), and Dr. Richard Davidson (University of Wisconsin) for their contributions to understanding intervention-induced neuroplasticity.

References

Boccia, M., Piccardi, L., & Guariglia, P. (2015). The meditative mind: A comprehensive meta-analysis of MRI studies. *BioMed Research International*, 2015, 419808.

Brewer, J. A., Worhunsky, P. D., Gray, J. R., Tang, Y. Y., Weber, J., & Kober, H. (2011). Meditation experience is associated with differences in default mode network activity and connectivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(50), 20254-20259.

Casey, B. J., Jones, R. M., & Hare, T. A. (2008). The adolescent brain. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1124(1), 111-126.

Desbordes, G., Negi, L. T., Pace, T. W., Wallace, B. A., Raison, C. L., & Schwartz, E. L. (2012). Effects of mindful-attention and compassion meditation training on amygdala response to emotional stimuli in an ordinary, non-meditative state. *NeuroImage*, 61(4), 841-848.

Hofmann, S. G., Asnaani, A., Vonk, I. J., Sawyer, A. T., & Fang, A. (2012). The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy: A review of meta-analyses. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 36(5), 427-440.

Hölzel, B. K., Carmody, J., Vangel, M., Congleton, C., Yerramsetti, S. M., Gard, T., & Lazar, S. W. (2011). Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, 191(1), 36-43.

Iacoviello, B. M., Murrough, J. W., Hoch, M. M., Huryk, K. M., Probst, F., Collins, K. A., ... & Frangou, S. (2020). Initial evidence for brain plasticity following a digital therapeutic intervention for depression. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 8(6), 988-1001.

Porto, P. R., Oliveira, L., Mari, J., Volchan, E., Figueira, I., & Ventura, P. (2009). Does cognitive behavioral therapy change the brain? A systematic review of neuroimaging in anxiety disorders. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 21(2), 114-125.

Ritchey, M., Dolcos, F., Eddington, K. M., Strauman, T. J., & Cabeza, R. (2011). Neural correlates of emotional processing in depression: Changes with cognitive behavioral therapy and predictors of treatment response. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 45(5), 577-587.

Siegle, G. J., Thompson, W. K., Collier, A., Berman, S. R., Feldmiller, J., Thase, M. E., & Friedman, E. S. (2012). Toward clinically useful neuroimaging in depression treatment: Prognostic utility of subgenual cingulate activity for determining depression outcome in cognitive therapy across studies, scanners, and patient characteristics. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 69(9), 913-924.

Spear, L. P. (2013). Adolescent neurodevelopment. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 52(2), S7-S13.

Steinberg, L. (2017). Adolescent brain science and juvenile justice policymaking. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 23(4), 410-420.